# Determination of Photolysis Frequencies and Quantum Yields for Small Carbonyl Compounds using the EUPHORE Chamber

Klaus Wirtz

Centro de Estudios Ambientales del Mediterráneo

Parque Tecnológico, C.\ Charles R. Darwin 14, 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain

(to be presented on the Combined US/German Environmental Chamber Workshop

#### Riverside, 1999)

## Abstract

Small aldehydes are formed during the photochemical oxidation of many VOC's, olefins and terpenes in urban as well as in rural areas. Photolysis and reaction with the OH radical are the most important initiation reactions for the removal of these compounds conducting to the formation of peroxy radicals and in the case of photolytic decomposition, either to stable molecules or free radicals.

The photolysis frequencies for various small aldehydes were measured in the EUPHORE Smog Chambers by photolysing the aldehydes with natural sunlight. The actinic flux during the experiment was measured with a spectroradiometer. The decay of aldehydes and formation of products were analysed by FTIR spectroscopy, gas chromatography and HPLC. The major products are explained in the case of acetaldehyde, propionaldehyde and i-butyraldehyde by a mechanism involving a primary dissociation step which leads to the formation of free radicals. The product analysis for photolysis experiments of butyraldehyde, pentanal, 2-Methylbutyraldehyde and 3-Methylbutyraldehyde indicates that for these molecules two primary photodissociation steps occur which gives either stable molecules or free radicals. Integrated quantum yields can be calculated from the ratio of the theoretical photolysis frequency using the measured radiation data and known absorption cross-sections by assuming a quantum yield of unity and the measured photolytic decay rate. The results obtained can be employed in numerical models which describe the tropospheric degradation of these compounds in order to assess the importance of the additional radical production on the atmospheric oxidation capacity and ozone formation potential of the precursors VOCs.

### **Experimental**

The experiments were performed in the outdoor Smog Chamber in Valencia, Spain. The reactor consists of a half spherical FEP (fluorine ethene propene) foil which is highly transparent to the short wavelength sunlight in the UVB with a total volume of about 195 m<sup>3</sup>. A detailed description of the photoreactors is given in Becker, 1996. Small quantities of the corresponding aldehyde were introduced by an air stream into the reactor and photolysed during most experiments in the presence of an OH tracer. A tracer was used instead of an OH radical scavenger because the addition of high concentration of an organic compound like cyclohexane would saturate a huge part of the IR bands and influence the product analysis. SF<sub>6</sub> as inert inorganic compound was introduced to measure the dilution throughout the experiment by analysing the collected FTIR spectra.

### Apparatus

A FTIR spectrometer, NICOLET Magna 550, was operated with a liquid nitrogen cooled MCT detector with a resolution of 1 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The optical path length was 553.5 m and the spectra were recorded every 10 minutes by co-adding 550 interferograms.

Two different gas chromatographs were used to measure either the decay of the reactants or the formation of the products. The first chromatograph, a Fisons GC-8000 was equipped with a 30 m DB-624 fused silica capillary column (J&W Scientific, 0.32 mm id, 1.8  $\mu$ m film) and operated in a constant pressure mode. Two detectors were used in series, FID and PID (GC-PID), to obtain the chromatographic signals. The second chromatograph, a Fisons Trace-Gas-Analyser (TGA), which incorporates a cryogenic enrichment trap, was connected to a FID detector. 200 cm<sup>3</sup> air were collected in a sampling loop at 120 °C and passed to a micro trap with Tenax cooled to -120°C with liquid N<sub>2</sub>. The injection onto the chromatographic column (30 m DB-1, J&W Scientific, 0.25 mm id, 1.0  $\mu$ m film) in splitless mode is achieved by a rapid heating of the micro trap to 240 °C.

The hydroperoxide analysis was based on the reaction of  $H_2O_2$  and organic peroxides with *p*-hydroxyphenylacetic acid (POPHA) to produce a fluorescent dimer (6,6'-dihydroxy-3,3'-biphenyldiacetic acid) using peroxidase as an enzyme catalyst

(Gäb et al., 1995). The sampled hydroperoxides were separated on a Superspher 60 RP-Select B column using an Hewlett-Packard 1050 Series isocratic pump at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min with  $H_3PO_4$  buffer as mobile phase adjusted to pH=3.5 containing  $4.9x10^{-8}$ mol/L of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to condition the column. The fluorescence of the biphenylic derivative was measured at an excitation wavelength of 285 nm and an emission wavelength of 410 nm. Detector response was proportional to the individual hydroperoxide concentration; quantification of the chromatographic signals was made injecting liquid H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> standards prepared from serial dilutions of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stock standards (Fluka, Sigma-Aldrich) assuming a similar response for the alkyl hydroperoxides. Air samples were collected with a flow rate of 2 L/min using the stripping technique (Lazrus et al., 1986; Lee et al., 1995). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and organic peroxides were stripped from the air into the collection solution using a continuous flow glass scrubbing coil. Collection solution (a H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> buffer adjusted to pH=3.5) was pumped at 0.43 mL/min with a peristaltic pump (205S-BA Watson-Marlow). About 0.2 mL volume samples were taken in vials in periods varying from 5 to 15 min and analysed immediately by the HPLC-Fluorescence technique.

The light intensity was measured during the experiments with a calibrated spectroradiometer Bentham DM300. Special designed measurement heads with an uniform sensitivity with respect to the incident angle of the solar light are coupled through a quartz fibre bundle to the entrance optics of the monochromator. Two light beams, one for the direct light and the other for the reflected light, passed simultaneously but geometrical separated through the double monochromator. Independent detectors measure the light for both beams. The spectra were recorded every 5 min in the range from 290 nm to 520 nm with a spectral resolution of 1 nm FWHM.

### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Determination of Photolysis Frequencies and Effective Quantum Yields**

All experiments were conducted at least for several hours at midday using the highest sun light intensity in the absence of nitrogen oxides,  $NO_x$ . In order to evaluate the photolysis frequency the loss rates obtained from the decay of the individual aldehydes (PID-GC data) were corrected for the dilution. There was no evidence found

from the decay rates of the tracer compounds that OH radical reactions may influence the calculated photolysis frequencies. The measured decay rates of the tracers overlap within the error limits with the dilution rate of the chamber. Different tracers were tested and selected to have a high OH rate constant whereby other loss processes like wall deposition or ozone reaction should be negligible. Isoprene as tracer has the highest OH rate constant and could be measured with high accuracy by GC as well as by FTIR, but the decay was influenced by the formed ozone. Cyclohexane showed the same properties with respect to the GC detection but due to the lower OH rate constant only high OH radical levels  $>10^5$  /cm<sup>3</sup> could be detected. Most experiments were performed using di-n-butyl ether as tracer which does not show a significant wall deposition and does not react with O<sub>3</sub>. The detectable OH radical level with this tracer is in the range of  $5x10^4$  /cm<sup>3</sup>.

Compound	Photolysis	Photolysis	Theoretical	Effective	Literature	
	Frequency	Frequency	Loss Rate	Quantum	Data on	
		J(NO <sub>2</sub> )	Q-yield 1	yield	Quantum	
	s <sup>-1</sup>	s <sup>-1</sup>	s <sup>-1</sup>		yields	
Acetaldehyde	2.9x10 <sup>-6</sup>	$10.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.92 \times 10^{-5}$	0.06	0.4 300nm <sup>&amp;</sup>	
					0.1 320nm	
Propionaldehyde	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.12 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.57 \times 10^{-5}$	0.31		
Butyraldehyde	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.92 \times 10^{-3}$	5.18x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.21		
Isobutyraldehyde	$3.3 \times 10^{-5}$	8.90x10 <sup>-3</sup>	$4.67 \times 10^{-5}$	0.70		
Pentanal	$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	9.64x10 <sup>-3</sup>	$5.52 \times 10^{-5}$	0.29	0.12 **	
2-Methyl	3.8x10 <sup>-5</sup>	9.26x10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.22x10 <sup>-5#</sup>	0.72		
butyraldehyde						
3-Methyl-	$1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	8.82x10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.69x10 <sup>-5#</sup>	0.27		
butyraldehyde						
2-Pentanone	0.6x10 <sup>-6</sup>	$7.03 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.86 \times 10^{-5}$	0.07		

Table 1: Photolysis frequencies and calculated effective quantum yields.

<sup>#</sup> Absorption cross section from Isobutyraldehyde used.

<sup>&</sup> Atkinson et al. (1989)

<sup>&&</sup> Mean 300-320nm, T.J. Cronin and L. Zhu, 1998

The effective quantum yields were calculated as the ratio between the measured photolysis frequency and the theoretical photolysis frequency assuming a quantum yield of unity all over the absorption region of the individual carbonyl compound. The spectroradiometer data on the actinic flux was used to evaluate the theoretical value, which is the upper limit for the photo decomposition, according to the formula:

k (photolytic decay) = 
$$\sum \mathbf{I}(\lambda) \sigma(\lambda) \phi(\lambda)$$
 (s<sup>-1</sup>),

with  $I(\lambda)$  the actinic flux, (measured by Bentham DM300) in photons cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>in the wavelength interval  $\Delta\lambda$ , centered at  $\lambda$ , absorption cross section  $\sigma(\lambda)$  base e in cm<sup>2</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> averaged over the wavelength interval  $\Delta\lambda$  and centered at  $\lambda$  (Martinez et al. 1992) and quantum yield  $\phi(\lambda)$ , set to unity in the absorption region. The results obtained are given in Table 1.

## **Product Analysis**

All experiments were performed in the absence of  $NO_x$  to avoid photosmog conditions where the decay of the aldehydes would be dominated by OH radical attack. Table 2 gives the main products observed by the different analytical techniques employed. As can be seen from Table 2 most of the products were identified by different methods and the product distribution is typical for  $NO_x$ -free conditions. A mechanism which explains the formed products in the case of butyraldehyde is given in Figure 1.





Carbonyl Compound	Products	Detection Method		
Acetaldehyde	Formaldehyde	FTIR		
	CO	FTIR		
CH <sub>3</sub> CHO	$H_2O_2$	HPLC-Fluorescence		
	Methylhydroperoxide	HPLC-Fluorescence		
Propionaldehyde	Formaldehyde	FTIR		
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CHO	CO	FTIR		
	Acetaldehyde	FTIR, GC-PID		
	Ethylhydroperoxide	HPLC-Fluorescence,		
		FTIR		
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	HPLC-Fluorescence		
Butyraldehyde	Formaldehyde	FTIR		
	CO	FTIR		
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CHO	Acetaldehyde	GC-PID		
	Propionaldehyde	GC-PID		
	1-Propanol	GC-TGA		
	Ethene	GC-PID, GC-TGA		
	n-Propylhydroperoxide	HPLC-Fluorescence		
	$H_2O_2$	HPLC-Fluorescence		
Isobutyraldehyde	СО	FTIR, CO-Monitor		
	Acetaldehyde	GC-PID, FTIR		
CH <sub>3</sub> CH(CH <sub>3</sub> )CHO	Acetone	GC-PID		
	Isopropanol	GC-TGA		
	Isopropylhydroperoxide	HPLC-Fluorescence		
	$H_2O_2$	HPLC-Fluorescence		
Pentanal	Acetaldehyde	GC-PID		
	Butyraldehyde	GC-PID		
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CHO	Propene	GC-TGA, CG-PID		
	n-Butylhydroperoxide (minor)	HPLC-Fluorescence		
	CO (?)	CO-Monitor		
2-Methylbutyraldehyde	Acetaldehyde	GC-PID		
	СО	CO-Monitor		
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH(CH <sub>3</sub> )CHO	2-Butylhydroperoxide	HPLC-Fluorescence		
	Ethene	GC-TGA		
3-Methylbutyraldehyde	Propene	GC-PID		
	Acetaldehyde	GC-PID		
CH <sub>3</sub> CH(CH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> CHO	Isobutyraldehyde	GC-PID, GC-TGA		
	Acetone	GC-TGA		
	СО	CO-Monitor		
	i-Butylhydroperoxide	HPLC-Fluorescence		
2-Pentanone	Ethene	GC-TGA		
	Propionaldehyde	GC-TGA		
CH <sub>3</sub> C(O)CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	Propylhydroperoxide	HPLC-Fluorescence		
	Methylhydroperoxide	HPLC-Fluorescence		

 Table 2: Identified products during the photolysis experiments of the carbonyls

In bold are the major products, underlined are products arising from a molecular channel.

For acetaldehyde, propionaldehyde and i-butyraldehyde all products could be observed which can be expected from the peroxyradical cross reactions  $RO_2 + RO_2$  and  $RO_2 + HO_2$  after dissociation into free radicals R + HCO. The photo decomposition of butyraldehyde, pentanal, 2-Methylbutyraldehyde and 3-Methylbutyraldehyde yields stable molecules and free radicals. The maximum alkylhydroperoxide concentrations measured at the end of the experiment are summarised in Table 3.

Carbonyl	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	MHP	EHP	PHP	i-PHP	i-BHP	1-BHP	2-BHP
Compound	ppb	ppb	ppb	ppb	ppb	ppb	ppb	ppb
Acetaldehyde	4.7	18.62						
Propionaldehyde	12.2	1.5	153.6					
Butyraldehyde	4.5	0.4	1.9	47.5				
i-Butyraldehyde	10.0				700			
Pentanal	1.2						3.5	
2-Methyl-	5.7	4.9	36.1					243.7
butyraldehyde								
<mark>3-Methyl-</mark>	3.5					14.23		
butyraldehyde								
2-Pentanone	2.5	4.6	0.4	7.0				

Table 3: Maximum values measured at the end of the photolysis experiments

#### Carbonyls which decompose into stable molecules and free radicals are marked

A numerical simulation was performed using the Facsimile code given in the MCM-Master-Mechanism (M.Pilling, University of Leeds) in order to obtain the branching ratio for the primary photo dissociation step for butyraldehyde. According to the simulation results branching ratios of 0.78 for the free radical channel and 0.22 for of the photo decomposition into stable molecules, ethene and acetaldehyde, can be calculated. The comparison between the experimental data and the simulation is shown in Figure 2. Using this branching ratio and the effective quantum yield measured for n-butyraldehyde a quantum yield of 0.16 for the free radical channel and 0.05 for the molecular channel can be determined.



FIGURE 2: Simulation results from the butyraldehyde photolysis.

# Conclusions

- Aldehydes with a chain length <C4 decompose only into free radicals.
- □ For aldehydes with a chain length ≥ C4 the photo decomposition proceeds via two channels, a molecular channel and a free radical channel. The individual contribution for each channel depends on the molecular structure.
- The very low n-butyl hydroperoxide and CO concentrations measured in the case of n-pentanal indicate that the free radical channel is of minor importance for longer chain aldehydes.
- □ The effective quantum yields for all compounds investigated is below unity. The highest values were obtained for aldehydes which has a structure like R-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CHO
- Alkyl hydroperoxide formation can be used to track free radicals formed from the photo decomposition of carbonyls to determine the individual decomposition pathways. The method will be employed to measure the effective quantum yields for ketones.
- □ The effective quantum yields for longer chain ketones like 2-pentanone is quite below unity and similar as determined for acetone (Gardner et al., 1984).

### References

- Atkinson R., D.L. Baulch, R.A. Cox, R.F. Hampson, Jr., A.J. Kerr and J. Troe, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 18 (1989), 881-1097.
- Becker, K.H. (ed); *The European Photoreactor EUPHORE*. Final Report of the EC-Project EV5V-CT92-0059, Wuppertal, Germany (1996).
- Cronin, T.J. and L. Zhu, J. Phys. Chem. 102 (1998), 10274-10279.
- Lazrus A.L., G.L.Kok, J.A. Lind, S.N. Gitlin, B.G. Heikes and R.E. Shetter; *Anal. Chem.* 58 (1986) 594-597.
- Lee M., B.C. Noone, D. O'Sullivan B.G. and Heikes; J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech. 12 (1995) 1060-1070.
- Gäb S., W.V. Turner, S. Wolff, K.H. Becker, L. Ruppert and K.J. Brockmann; *Atmos. Environ.* **29** (1995) 2401-2407.
- Possanzini M., V. Di Palo, M. Petricca, R. Fratarcangelli and D. Brocco; *Atmos. Environ.* **30** (1996) 3757-3764.

Shepson P.B., Hastie D.R., Schiff H.I., M. Polizzi, J.W. Bottenheim, K. Anlauf, G.I. Mackay and D.R. Karecki; *Atmos. Environ.* **25A** (1991) 2001-2015.

**Acknowledgements** This work has been supported by the European Commission through the project ENV4-CT97-0419. The authors want to thank the financial contribution of the Generalitat Valenciana and Fundación BANCAJA.